



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/740,103	12/18/2000	Erik W. Jensen	884.386US1	5261

7590 12/03/2002

Schwegman, Lundberg
Woessner & Kluth, P.A.
P.O. BOX 2938
Minneapolis, MN 55402

EXAMINER

ALCALA, JOSE H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2827

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/740,103

Applicant(s)

JENSEN, ERIK W.

Examiner

Jose H Alcalá

Art Unit

2827

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 2-5 and 21-37.Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 38-40.

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet


DAVID L. TALBOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 10. Other: 1. Applicant argues that a plated through hole is not a via. Examiner respectfully disagrees and points out that the term: "through hole" is commonly used in the art to label vias that go through a layer, such as the ones instant claimed invention. It is clear that Memis (US Patent No. 6,162,997) teaches: "at least two vias" (reference numbers 26 and 22), therefore the claims fail to overcome the prior art.

2. Applicant further argues that for the rejections of claims 3,4,5,24-27,28,30-33,34,36 and 37, the office action must provide specific, objective evidence of record for a finding of a suggestion or motivation to combine reference teachings in order to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. Examiner points out that the suggestion or motivation to combine reference teachings are either mentioned in the references or were well known in the art at the time the invention was made, and are explicitly pointed out in the final rejection. For example in the rejection of claim 31, the motivation for the modification of the combination of the references is explicitly pointed out in the rejection. It is well known in the art the good conductive properties of aluminum and its use as a conductor due to those properties. The fact that the aluminum material is lightweight is added in order to support the choice and desirability of that material for the invention, not because that is the most common reason to select a material for making a conductor..